

From a dynamical system of the knee to natural jet geometrical objects

Mircea Neagu and Mihaela Maria Marin

Abstract

In this paper we construct some natural geometrical objects on the 1-jet space $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^3)$, like a nonlinear connection, a Cartan linear connection (together with its d-torsions and d-curvatures), a jet "electromagnetic" d-field and its geometric "electromagnetic" Yang-Mills energy, starting from a given dynamical system governing the three-dimensional motion of the knee in the mathematical model introduced by Grood and Suntay. The corresponding Yang-Mills energetic surfaces of constant level (produced by this knee dynamical system) are studied.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C43, 53C07, 83C22.

Key words and phrases: 1-jet spaces, dynamical system of knee, jet least squares Lagrangian function, jet single-time Lagrange geometry, "electromagnetic" Yang-Mills energy.

1 Short introduction

The 1-jet spaces are basic objects in the study of classical and quantum field theories (see Olver [10]). For such a reason, a lot of authors (Asanov [2], Saunders [12] and many others) studied the differential geometry of 1-jet spaces. Using as a pattern the Miron-Anastasiei's Lagrangian geometrical ideas (see [9]), Balan and Neagu have recently developed the *single-time Lagrange geometry on 1-jet spaces* (see [3]), which is very suitable for the geometrical study of the solutions of a given ODE system, via the *least squares variational method* initiated by Udriște (see [13]).

In the present days, the biomechanics of the lower limb is an extremely fruitful field of research. This research field is of interest for a lot of biomechanists (e.g., see Barbu [4] and Roșca [11]). In this direction, it is important to note that the differential geometrical methods from 1-jet spaces are very useful for studying biomechanics (see Ivancevic [7]). In such a perspective, this paper applies the jet geometrical results from monograph [3] to the Euler's dynamical equations that govern the three-dimensional motion of the tibia with respect to the femur, in the biomechanical and mathematical model introduced by Grood and Suntay [5], and studied further by many scholars (e.g., see Hefzy and Abdel-Rahman [6]).

2 Jet single-time Lagrange geometry produced by a non-linear dynamical system

Let us present now the main jet single-time Lagrangian geometrical results that, in our opinion, may characterize a given non-linear dynamical system. In this way, let us consider the jet fibre bundle of order one $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where $n \geq 1$, whose local coordinates (t, x^i, x_1^i) , $i = \overline{1, n}$, obey the rules

$$\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}(t), \quad \tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i(x^j), \quad \tilde{x}_1^i = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j} \frac{dt}{d\tilde{t}} \cdot x_1^j.$$

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \left(\mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(i)}(x^k) \right)$ be an arbitrary distinguished (d-) tensor field on the 1-jet space $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, whose local components transform by the rules

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{(1)}^{(i)} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j} \frac{dt}{d\tilde{t}} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(j)}.$$

The d-tensor field $\mathfrak{X}$ produces the jet first order ODE system (*jet dynamical system*)

$$x_1^i = \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(i)}(x^k(t)), \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n}, \quad (1)$$

where $c(t) = (x^i(t))$ is an unknown curve on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (i.e., a *jet field line* of the d-tensor field $\mathfrak{X}$), and we have

$$x_1^i := \dot{x}^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}, \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n}.$$

Let us consider now the *jet least squares Lagrangian function* (attached to the dynamical system (1) and to Euclidian manifolds $(\mathbb{R}, 1)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n, \delta_{ij})$)

$$\mathbf{JLS}^{\text{ODEs}} : J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+,$$

expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{JLS}^{\text{ODEs}}(x^k, x_1^k) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \delta_{ij} \left[x_1^i - \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(i)}(x) \right] \left[x_1^j - \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(j)}(x) \right] = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[x_1^i - \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(i)}(x) \right]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $x = (x^k)_{k=\overline{1, n}}$. It is obvious that the global minimum points of the *jet least squares energy action*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{ODEs}}(c(t)) = \int_a^b \mathbf{JLS}^{\text{ODEs}}(x^k(t), \dot{x}^k(t)) dt, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

are exactly the solutions of class C^2 of the jet dynamical system (1). In other words, any solution of class C^2 of the system (1) verifies the second order Euler-Lagrange equations (i.e., the *jet geometric dynamics* associated to the ODE system (1)) produced by the jet least squares Lagrangian function (2):

$$\frac{\partial [\mathbf{JLS}^{\text{ODEs}}]}{\partial x^i} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial [\mathbf{JLS}^{\text{ODEs}}]}{\partial \dot{x}^i} \right) = 0, \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n}. \quad (3)$$

Remark 1 *Conversely, the preceding statement is not true. In other words, there exist solutions of the Euler-Lagrange ODE system of second order (3), which are not global minimum points for the jet least squares energy action $\mathcal{E}^{\text{ODEs}}$, that is which are not solutions for the initial jet dynamical system (1).*

In such a context, we consider that we may regard the jet least squares Lagrangian function $\mathbf{JLS}^{\text{ODEs}}$ as a natural geometrical substitut on the 1-jet space $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for the dynamical system (1). But, an entire single-time Lagrange geometry on the 1-jet space $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ (in the sense of nonlinear connection, generalized Cartan linear connection, d-torsions, d-curvatures, jet "electromagnetic" d-field and jet "electromagnetic" Yang-Mills energy), geometry which is produced only by the jet least squares Lagrangian function $\mathbf{JLS}^{\text{ODEs}}$ (via its Euler-Lagrange equations (3)), is now completely done in the book [3]. For such a reason, we introduce the following concept:

Definition 2 *Any kind of geometrical object on $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, which is produced by the jet least squares Lagrangian function $\mathbf{JLS}^{\text{ODEs}}$ (via its second order Euler-Lagrange equations (3)) is called a **geometrical object produced by the jet dynamical system** (1).*

Let us consider the Jacobian matrix

$$J(\mathfrak{X}) = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(i)}}{\partial x^j} \right)_{i,j=\overline{1,n}}.$$

In such a context, we give the following geometrical result (which is proved in the book [3]):

Theorem 3 (1) *The **canonical nonlinear connection** on $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ produced by the jet dynamical system (1) has the local components*

$$\Gamma^{\text{ODEs}} = \left(\mathbf{M}_{(1)1}^{(i)} = 0, \mathbf{N}_{(1)j}^{(i)} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_{(1)} &= \left(\mathbf{N}_{(1)j}^{(i)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(i)}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(j)}}{\partial x^i} \right] \right)_{i,j=\overline{1,n}} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} [J(\mathfrak{X}) - {}^T J(\mathfrak{X})]. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) All adapted components of the **canonical Cartan linear connection** $\mathbf{CI}^{\text{ODEs}}$ **produced by the jet dynamical system** (1) are zero.
- (3) The **torsion tensor** $\mathbf{T}^{\text{ODEs}}$ of the canonical Cartan linear connection $\mathbf{CI}^{\text{ODEs}}$ **produced by the jet dynamical system** (1) has the adapted components ($k = \overline{1, n}$)

$$\mathbf{T}_{(1)k} = \left(\mathbf{T}_{(1)jk}^{(i)} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(i)}}{\partial x^k \partial x^j} - \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(j)}}{\partial x^k \partial x^i} \right] \right)_{i,j=\overline{1,n}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{N}_{(1)}}{\partial x^k}.$$

- (4) All adapted components of the **curvature tensor** $\mathbf{R}^{\text{ODEs}}$ of the canonical Cartan linear connection $\mathbf{CI}^{\text{ODEs}}$ **produced by the jet dynamical system** (1) cancel.
- (5) The **geometric "electromagnetic" distinguished 2-form produced by the jet dynamical system** (1) has the expression

$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{ODEs}} = \mathbf{F}_{(i)j}^{(1)} \delta x_1^i \wedge dx^j,$$

where

$$\delta x_1^i = dx_1^i + \mathbf{N}_{(1)k}^{(i)} dx^k, \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n},$$

and we have

$$\mathbf{F}^{(1)} = \left(\mathbf{F}_{(i)j}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(i)}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_{(1)}^{(j)}}{\partial x^i} \right] \right)_{i,j=\overline{1,n}} = -\mathbf{N}_{(1)}.$$

- (6) The **jet geometric "electromagnetic" Yang-Mills energy produced by the jet dynamical system** (1) is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{EYM}^{\text{ODEs}}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n \left[\mathbf{F}_{(i)j}^{(1)} \right]^2 = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{Trace} \left[\mathbf{F}^{(1)} \cdot {}^T \mathbf{F}^{(1)} \right].$$

Remark 4 The adapted components $\mathbf{F}_{(i)j}^{(1)}$ of the "electromagnetic" (d -) 2-form $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ODEs}}$ produced by the jet dynamical system (1) verify the following **geometrical Maxwell equations**:

$$\sum_{\{i,j,k\}} \mathbf{F}_{(i)j||k}^{(1)} = 0,$$

where $\sum_{\{i,j,k\}}$ represents a cyclic sum and we have

$$\mathbf{F}_{(i)j||k}^{(1)} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{(i)j}^{(1)}}{\partial x^k}.$$

For more details in the jet "electromagnetic" topic, please consult the work [3].

Remark 5 The jet geometric Yang-Mills energy $\mathbf{EYM}^{\text{ODEs}}$ coincides with the norm of the skew-symmetric "electromagnetic" matrix $\mathbf{F}^{(1)}$ in the Lie algebra

$$o(n) = L(O(n)) = \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid A + {}^T A = 0 \},$$

where $O(n) = \{ A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid A \cdot {}^T A = I_n \}$ is the corresponding Lie group of the orthogonal matrices.

3 Three-dimensional mathematical model used for studying the tibio-femoral dynamics

The femur and tibia are modeled as two rigid bodies. Cartilage deformation is assumed relatively small compared to joint motions and not to affect relative motions and forces within the tibio-femoral joint. Furthermore, friction forces will be neglected because of the extremely low coefficients of friction of the articular surfaces. Hence, in this model, the resistance to motion is essentially due to the ligamentous structures and the contact forces. The menisci were not taken into consideration in the present model. For more biomechanical details, see Hefzy and Abdel-Rahman [6].

The joint coordinate system, which was introduced by Grood and Suntay [5], is used to define the rotation and translation vectors that describe the three-dimensional patella-femoral and tibio-femoral motions. This joint coordinate system consists of an x -axis that is fixed on the femur ($\vec{i}$ is the unit vector directing the x -axis), a z' -axis that is fixed on the tibia ($\vec{k}'$ is the unit vector directing the z' -axis), and a floating axis perpendicular to these two fixed axes ($\vec{i} \times \vec{k}'$ is the unit vector directing the floating axis). The Grood-Suntay's rotation vector includes three angular components:

1. α is the *flexion-extension angle* that characterize the rotation occurred around the femoral fixed axis $O_F x$;
2. $\beta - \pi/2$ is the *adduction-abduction angle* for the right knee, that characterizes the rotation around the floating axis (this is the case studied by us). For the left knee, the adduction-abduction angle is given by $\pi/2 - \beta$. In both cases the angle β is called the *varus-valgus angle*.
3. γ is the *internal-external tibial angle* that characterize the rotation which occurs about the tibial fixed axis $O_T z'$.

Using this joint coordinate system, the rotation vector (describing the orientation of the tibial coordinate system with respect to the femoral coordinate system) is defined as:

$$\vec{\theta} = -\alpha \vec{i} - \beta [\vec{i} \times \vec{k}'] - \gamma \vec{k}'.$$

This rotation vector can be transformed to the femoral coordinate system, and then, it can be differentiated with respect to time to yield the angular velocity and angular acceleration vectors of the tibia with respect to the femur.

In this analysis, it is assumed that the femur is fixed while the tibia is moving. The transformations of these two Grood-Suntay coordinate systems are given by (see [5], [6])

$$\bar{\mathbf{r}} = \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{O_T} + \bar{\mathbf{r}}' = \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{O_T} + \mathfrak{R} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{t=0},$$

where the vector $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{O_T}$ is the position vector which locates the origin of the tibial coordinate system with respect to the femoral coordinate system (the tibial origin O_T is considered in the center of tibia), $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{t=0}$ describes the position vector of an arbitrary point P with respect to the tibial coordinate system (at the initial moment $t = 0$; note that the vector $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{t=0}$ is constant in time), and $\bar{\mathbf{r}}'$ is the position vector (at an arbitrary moment t) of the same point P with respect to the tibial coordinate system. As usual in the mechanics of the rigid bodies, the position vector $\bar{\mathbf{r}}'$ of the point P is expressed by the rotation formula

$$\bar{\mathbf{r}}' = \mathfrak{R} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{t=0},$$

where the matrix of rotation

$$\mathfrak{R} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{13} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} & R_{23} \\ R_{31} & R_{32} & R_{33} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

has the entries (see [5], [6])

$$R_{11} = \sin \beta \cos \gamma, \quad R_{12} = \sin \beta \sin \gamma, \quad R_{13} = \cos \beta,$$

$$R_{21} = -\cos \alpha \sin \gamma - \sin \alpha \cos \beta \cos \gamma,$$

$$R_{22} = \cos \alpha \cos \gamma - \sin \alpha \cos \beta \sin \gamma, \quad R_{23} = \sin \alpha \sin \beta,$$

$$R_{31} = \sin \alpha \sin \gamma - \cos \alpha \cos \beta \cos \gamma,$$

$$R_{32} = -\sin \alpha \cos \gamma - \cos \alpha \cos \beta \sin \gamma, \quad R_{33} = \cos \alpha \sin \beta.$$

The rotation matrix $\mathfrak{R}$ is generated by multiplying the three matrices generated by the rotations around the axes, namely:

1. a rotation of angle α around the axis $O_F x$ (from the top of the vector $\bar{\mathbf{i}}$ the rotation is clock-wise):

$$\mathcal{R}_{O_F x, \alpha} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ 0 & -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix};$$

2. a rotation of angle $\frac{\pi}{2} - \beta$ around the floating axis (from the top of the vector $\bar{\mathbf{i}} \times \bar{\mathbf{k}}'$ the rotation is clock-wise):

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{floating axis}, \beta} := \begin{pmatrix} \sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta \end{pmatrix};$$

3. a rotation of angle γ around the axis $O_T z'$ (from the top of the vector $\vec{k}'$ the rotation is clock-wise):

$$\mathcal{R}_{O_T z', \gamma} := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \gamma & \sin \gamma & 0 \\ -\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words, we have the matrix equality

$$\mathfrak{R} = \mathcal{R}_{O_F x, \alpha} \cdot \mathcal{R}_{\text{floating axis}, \beta} \cdot \mathcal{R}_{O_T z', \gamma}.$$

The equations that govern the three-dimensional motion of the tibia with respect to the femur are the second order differential Newton's and Euler's equations of motion.

The classical Newton's equations are written in scalar form, with respect to the femoral fixed system of axes, as:

$$\begin{cases} F_x^{\text{ext}} + G_x = m\ddot{x}_{O_F} \\ F_y^{\text{ext}} + G_y = m\ddot{y}_{O_F} \\ F_z^{\text{ext}} + G_z = m\ddot{z}_{O_F}, \end{cases}$$

where

- $\vec{F}^{\text{ext}} = F_x^{\text{ext}} \cdot \vec{i} + F_y^{\text{ext}} \cdot \vec{j} + F_z^{\text{ext}} \cdot \vec{k}$ is the sum of all external forces applied to the tibia-femur (contact forces in knee, ligamentous forces in knee etc.);
- $\vec{G} = G_x \cdot \vec{i} + G_y \cdot \vec{j} + G_z \cdot \vec{k}$ is the weight of the leg of mass m ; the mass of the leg was taken in this experiment as $m = 4.0$ kg (see Hefzy and Abdel-Rahman [6]).
- $\vec{a}_{O_F} = \ddot{x}_{O_F} \cdot \vec{i} + \ddot{y}_{O_F} \cdot \vec{j} + \ddot{z}_{O_F} \cdot \vec{k}$ is the acceleration of the center of mass of the leg (which is fixed in the origin of the femoral coordinate system O_F).

From the perspective of the rigid body mechanics, the Euler's equations of motion are written in the scalar form as:

$$\begin{cases} I_{x'x'} \dot{\omega}_{x'} + (I_{z'z'} - I_{y'y'}) \omega_{y'} \omega_{z'} = M_{x'}^{\text{ext}} \\ I_{y'y'} \dot{\omega}_{y'} + (I_{x'x'} - I_{z'z'}) \omega_{z'} \omega_{x'} = M_{y'}^{\text{ext}} \\ I_{z'z'} \dot{\omega}_{z'} + (I_{y'y'} - I_{x'x'}) \omega_{x'} \omega_{y'} = M_{z'}^{\text{ext}}, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where

- $\vec{\omega} = \omega_{x'} \cdot \vec{i}' + \omega_{y'} \cdot \vec{j}' + \omega_{z'} \cdot \vec{k}'$ is the angular velocity vector of the tibia with respect to the femur;
- $\dot{\vec{\omega}} = \dot{\omega}_{x'} \cdot \vec{i}' + \dot{\omega}_{y'} \cdot \vec{j}' + \dot{\omega}_{z'} \cdot \vec{k}'$ is the angular acceleration vector of the tibia with respect to the femur;

- $\overline{M}^{\text{ext}} = M_{x'}^{\text{ext}} \cdot \vec{i}' + M_{y'}^{\text{ext}} \cdot \vec{j}' + M_{z'}^{\text{ext}} \cdot \vec{k}'$ is the sum of the moments (the torques) of all external forces acting on the tibia around the x' -, y' -, and z' -axes;
- $I_{x'x'}$, $I_{y'y'}$ and $I_{z'z'}$ are the principal moments of inertia of the leg about its centroidal principal system of axes. The inertial parameters were estimated using anthropometric data as

$$I_{x'x'} = 0.0672 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2, \quad I_{y'y'} = 0.0672 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2, \quad I_{z'z'} = 0.005334 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^2.$$

Note that, in this analysis, the leg was assumed to be a right cylinder. For more details, please see Hefzy and Abdel-Rahman [6], and references therein.

Remark 6 *If we use the matrix equality*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{i}' & \vec{j}' & \vec{k}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathfrak{R},$$

then we deduce that the rotation vector $\overline{\theta}$ takes in the femoral system of axes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\theta} = & (-\alpha - \gamma \cos \beta) \cdot \vec{i} + (-\beta \cos \alpha - \gamma \sin \alpha \sin \beta) \cdot \vec{j} + \\ & + (\beta \sin \alpha - \gamma \cos \alpha \sin \beta) \cdot \vec{k}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Therefore, by differentiating the rotation vector $\overline{\theta}$, we find the angular velocity vector

$$\overline{\omega} = \frac{d\overline{\theta}}{dt} = \omega_x \cdot \vec{i} + \omega_y \cdot \vec{j} + \omega_z \cdot \vec{k}.$$

Now, by rotating the angular velocity vector $\overline{\omega}$, via the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{x'} & \omega_{y'} & \omega_{z'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_x & \omega_y & \omega_z \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathfrak{R},$$

we obtain the equalities (see also [6]):

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{x'} &= -\dot{\alpha} \sin \beta \cos \gamma - \dot{\alpha} \beta \cos \beta \cos \gamma + \dot{\alpha} \gamma \sin \beta \sin \gamma + \dot{\beta} \sin \gamma + \dot{\beta} \gamma \cos \gamma, \\ \omega_{y'} &= -\dot{\alpha} \sin \beta \sin \gamma - \dot{\alpha} \beta \cos \beta \sin \gamma - \dot{\alpha} \gamma \sin \beta \cos \gamma - \dot{\beta} \cos \gamma + \dot{\beta} \gamma \sin \gamma, \\ \omega_{z'} &= -\dot{\alpha} \cos \beta + \dot{\alpha} \beta \sin \beta - \dot{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

4 Approximate values of the components of the total external torque and angular velocity

Using the three-dimensional mathematical model for the tibio-femoral motion due to Luh et al. (see [8]), Apkarian et al. (see [1]) practically measured in a lab frame (using some specialized devices) the total external torques acting on the tibia of a subject (A) having the stride length 1.41 m and the speed of walking

1.21 m/s. Obviously, the time of the gait cycle for the subject (A), studied in the paper [1], is $T = 1.1652$ s.

Let us consider for the subject (A) the following gait cycle intermediate moments:

$$t_0 = 0 \text{ (0\%)}, \quad t_1 = T/4 = 0.2913 \text{ (25\%)}, \quad t_2 = T/2 = 0.5826 \text{ (50\%)}, \\ t_3 = 3T/4 = 0.8739 \text{ (75\%)}, \quad t_4 = T = 1.1652 \text{ (100\%)}. \quad \cdot$$

Looking now at the three graphs of the knee torque components (computed in $\text{N} \cdot \text{m}$ in the paper [1], pp. 153), we see that the total external torque vector of the knee

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{M}^{\text{ext}} &= M_x^{\text{ext}} \cdot \vec{i} + M_y^{\text{ext}} \cdot \vec{j} + M_z^{\text{ext}} \cdot \vec{k} := \\ &: = -M_{\text{valgus}} \cdot \vec{i} - M_{\text{extension}} \cdot \vec{j} + M_{\text{internal}} \cdot \vec{k} \end{aligned}$$

has the following approximate interpolation components:

$M_x^{\text{ext}}(t_0) \approx 7.5,$	$M_y^{\text{ext}}(t_0) \approx 7.5,$	$M_z^{\text{ext}}(t_0) = 0,$
$M_x^{\text{ext}}(t_1) \approx -40,$	$M_y^{\text{ext}}(t_1) = 0,$	$M_z^{\text{ext}}(t_1) \approx 5,$
$M_x^{\text{ext}}(t_2) \approx -15,$	$M_y^{\text{ext}}(t_2) = 0,$	$M_z^{\text{ext}}(t_2) = 0,$
$M_x^{\text{ext}}(t_3) = 0,$	$M_y^{\text{ext}}(t_3) = 0,$	$M_z^{\text{ext}}(t_3) \approx -5,$
$M_x^{\text{ext}}(t_4) \approx 7.5,$	$M_y^{\text{ext}}(t_4) \approx 15,$	$M_z^{\text{ext}}(t_4) = 0.$

At the same time, looking at the approximate values of the three knee angles appearing in the graphs from [1] (pp. 150), we observe that the rotation vector

$$\overline{\theta} = \theta_x \cdot \vec{i} + \theta_y \cdot \vec{j} + \theta_z \cdot \vec{k} := -\theta_{\text{varus}} \cdot \vec{i} - \theta_{\text{flexion}} \cdot \vec{j} - \theta_{\text{external}} \cdot \vec{k}$$

has the interpolation components (the angles are presented here in radians; from the top of the vectors $\vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}$, these angles are measured counter-clock-wise):

$\theta_x(t_0) = 0 \text{ (= } 0^\circ),$	$\theta_y(t_0) \approx -0.0872 \text{ (= } -5^\circ),$
$\theta_x(t_1) \approx -0.0872 \text{ (= } -5^\circ),$	$\theta_y(t_1) \approx -0.3490 \text{ (= } -20^\circ),$
$\theta_x(t_2) \approx -0.0872 \text{ (= } -5^\circ),$	$\theta_y(t_2) \approx -0.3490 \text{ (= } -20^\circ),$
$\theta_x(t_3) \approx -0.1745 \text{ (= } -10^\circ),$	$\theta_y(t_3) \approx -1.1344 \text{ (= } -65^\circ),$
$\theta_x(t_4) = 0 \text{ (= } 0^\circ),$	$\theta_y(t_4) \approx -0.0872 \text{ (= } -5^\circ),$

$\theta_z(t_0) \approx -0.1745 \text{ (= } -10^\circ),$
$\theta_z(t_1) \approx -0.0872 \text{ (= } -5^\circ),$
$\theta_z(t_2) \approx -0.1745 \text{ (= } -10^\circ),$
$\theta_z(t_3) \approx -0.0872 \text{ (= } -5^\circ),$
$\theta_z(t_4) \approx -0.1745 \text{ (= } -10^\circ).$

Remark 7 Solving numerically the angle system (see the rotation vector (6))

$$\begin{cases} -\alpha - \gamma \cos \beta = \theta_x \\ -\beta \cos \alpha - \gamma \sin \alpha \sin \beta = \theta_y \\ \beta \sin \alpha - \gamma \cos \alpha \sin \beta = \theta_z, \end{cases}$$

we find the following intermediate Grood-Suntay's angles for knee:

$\alpha(t_0) \approx -0.5786,$	$\beta(t_0) \approx 0.1684,$	$\gamma(t_0) \approx 0.5869,$
$\alpha(t_1) \approx -0.0760,$	$\beta(t_1) \approx 0.3546,$	$\gamma(t_1) \approx 0.1740,$
$\alpha(t_2) \approx -0.1851,$	$\beta(t_2) \approx 0.3751,$	$\gamma(t_2) \approx 0.2927,$
$\alpha(t_3) \approx 0.0859,$	$\beta(t_3) \approx 1.1227,$	$\gamma(t_3) \approx 0.2044,$
$\alpha(t_4) \approx -0.5786,$	$\beta(t_4) \approx 0.1684,$	$\gamma(t_4) \approx 0.5869.$

Now, using the Lagrange polynomial of interpolation generally described by

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) = & y_0 \cdot \frac{x-x_1}{x_0-x_1} \cdot \frac{x-x_2}{x_0-x_2} \cdot \frac{x-x_3}{x_0-x_3} \cdot \frac{x-x_4}{x_0-x_4} + \\ & + y_1 \cdot \frac{x-x_0}{x_1-x_0} \cdot \frac{x-x_2}{x_1-x_2} \cdot \frac{x-x_3}{x_1-x_3} \cdot \frac{x-x_4}{x_1-x_4} + \\ & + y_2 \cdot \frac{x-x_0}{x_2-x_0} \cdot \frac{x-x_1}{x_2-x_1} \cdot \frac{x-x_3}{x_2-x_3} \cdot \frac{x-x_4}{x_2-x_4} + \\ & + y_3 \cdot \frac{x-x_0}{x_3-x_0} \cdot \frac{x-x_1}{x_3-x_1} \cdot \frac{x-x_2}{x_3-x_2} \cdot \frac{x-x_4}{x_3-x_4} + \\ & + y_4 \cdot \frac{x-x_0}{x_4-x_0} \cdot \frac{x-x_1}{x_4-x_1} \cdot \frac{x-x_2}{x_4-x_2} \cdot \frac{x-x_3}{x_4-x_3}, \end{aligned}$$

we can construct the approximate Apkarian's angle functions $\theta_x(t)$, $\theta_y(t)$ and $\theta_z(t)$. Afterward, by differentiating the angle functions $\theta_x(t)$, $\theta_y(t)$ and $\theta_z(t)$, we get the components of the angular velocity in our gait cycle moments (rad/s):

$\omega_x(t_0) \approx -1.0981,$	$\omega_y(t_0) \approx -5.6920,$	$\omega_z(t_0) \approx 1.5984,$
$\omega_x(t_1) \approx 0.0999,$	$\omega_y(t_1) \approx 1.1983,$	$\omega_z(t_1) \approx -0.3997,$
$\omega_x(t_2) \approx -0.1998,$	$\omega_y(t_2) \approx -1.7974,$	$\omega_z(t_2) \approx -0.0002,$
$\omega_x(t_3) \approx -0.1997,$	$\omega_y(t_3) \approx -2.0970,$	$\omega_z(t_3) \approx 0.3993,$
$\omega_x(t_4) \approx 1.8975,$	$\omega_y(t_4) \approx 12.8820,$	$\omega_z(t_4) \approx -1.5983.$

Consequently, via the rotation formulas

$$X' = R_{11}X + R_{21}Y + R_{31}Z,$$

$$Y' = R_{12}X + R_{22}Y + R_{32}Z,$$

$$Z' = R_{13}X + R_{23}Y + R_{33}Z,$$

where R_{ij} are given by the expressions from (4), we find the following intermediate vector components (with respect to tibia coordinate system):

■ – components of the total external torque:

$M_{x'}^{\text{ext}}(t_0) \approx 0.9361,$	$M_{y'}^{\text{ext}}(t_0) \approx 8.1637,$	$M_{z'}^{\text{ext}}(t_0) \approx 6.7065,$
$M_{x'}^{\text{ext}}(t_1) \approx -18.3490,$	$M_{y'}^{\text{ext}}(t_1) \approx -2.8400,$	$M_{z'}^{\text{ext}}(t_1) \approx -35.7800,$
$M_{x'}^{\text{ext}}(t_2) \approx -5.2618,$	$M_{y'}^{\text{ext}}(t_2) \approx -1.5857,$	$M_{z'}^{\text{ext}}(t_2) \approx -13.9570,$
$M_{x'}^{\text{ext}}(t_3) \approx 2.0263,$	$M_{y'}^{\text{ext}}(t_3) \approx 0.8581,$	$M_{z'}^{\text{ext}}(t_3) \approx -4.4898,$
$M_{x'}^{\text{ext}}(t_4) \approx 0.8255,$	$M_{y'}^{\text{ext}}(t_4) \approx 15.6310,$	$M_{z'}^{\text{ext}}(t_4) \approx 6.0191.$

■ – components of the angular velocity:

$\omega_{x'}(t_0) \approx -1.6519,$	$\omega_{y'}(t_0) \approx -5.7721,$	$\omega_{z'}(t_0) \approx -0.3366,$
$\omega_{x'}(t_1) \approx 0.2847,$	$\omega_{y'}(t_1) \approx 1.2324,$	$\omega_{z'}(t_1) \approx -0.0762,$
$\omega_{x'}(t_2) \approx 0.1451,$	$\omega_{y'}(t_2) \approx -1.8010,$	$\omega_{z'}(t_2) \approx -0.0647,$
$\omega_{x'}(t_3) \approx 0.1623,$	$\omega_{y'}(t_3) \approx -2.1350,$	$\omega_{z'}(t_3) \approx 0.1098,$
$\omega_{x'}(t_4) \approx 1.6574,$	$\omega_{y'}(t_4) \approx 13.0050,$	$\omega_{z'}(t_4) \approx 0.4656.$

Now, using the preceding Tables and the well-known method of multiple regression from Statistics, by numerical computations, we get the following linear approximations for the torque components $M_{x'}^{\text{ext}}$, $M_{y'}^{\text{ext}}$ and $M_{z'}^{\text{ext}}$, with respect to the angular velocity components $\omega_{x'}$, $\omega_{y'}$ and $\omega_{z'}$ (via the classical method of least squares):

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{x'}^{\text{ext}} &\approx -16.9430 \cdot \omega_{x'} - 0.5003 \cdot \omega_{y'} + 80.9290 \cdot \omega_{z'} - 3.0709, \\
M_{y'}^{\text{ext}} &\approx -15.1720 \cdot \omega_{x'} + 1.5740 \cdot \omega_{y'} + 34.8270 \cdot \omega_{z'} + 3.7511, \\
M_{z'}^{\text{ext}} &\approx -39.7610 \cdot \omega_{x'} + 0.9071 \cdot \omega_{y'} + 140.8000 \cdot \omega_{z'} - 7.1266.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

5 From Euler's equations of motion to jet "electromagnetic" Yang-Mills energy

Note that, in our jet geometrical approach, we can regard the Euler's equations of motion (5) as a dynamical system on the 1-jet space $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^3)$. The coordinates on the 1-jet space $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ are considered as being

$$(t, \omega_{x'}, \omega_{y'}, \omega_{z'}, \dot{\omega}_{x'}, \dot{\omega}_{y'}, \dot{\omega}_{z'}).$$

In such a context, taking the particular case from the Hefzy – Abdel-Rahman's paper [6], when we have

$$I_{x'x'} = I_{y'y'} = 0.0672, \quad I_{z'z'} = 0.0053,$$

and setting the components of the total external torque by the expressions (7), then the Euler's equations of motion (5) for the subject (A) can be rewritten in

the following particular form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{\omega}_{x'} = 0.9211 \cdot \omega_{y'} \cdot \omega_{z'} - 252.1279 \cdot \omega_{x'} - 7.4449 \cdot \omega_{y'} + \\ \quad + 1204.3005 \cdot \omega_{z'} - 45.6979 \\ \dot{\omega}_{y'} = -0.9211 \cdot \omega_{x'} \cdot \omega_{z'} - 225.7738 \cdot \omega_{x'} + 23.4226 \cdot \omega_{y'} + \\ \quad + 518.2589 \cdot \omega_{z'} + 55.8199 \\ \dot{\omega}_{z'} = -7502.0754 \cdot \omega_{x'} + 171.1509 \cdot \omega_{y'} + \\ \quad + 26566.0377 \cdot \omega_{z'} - 1344.6415. \end{array} \right. \quad (8)$$

Consequently, via the Theorem 3 applied to the first order ODE system (8), we assert that the Lagrangian geometrical behavior on the 1-jet space $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ of the above knee dynamical system can be described by the following result:

Corollary 8 (1) *The **canonical nonlinear connection** on $J^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ **produced by the jet dynamical system of knee** (8) has the local components*

$$\mathring{\Gamma} = \left(\mathring{M}_{(1)1}^{(i)} = 0, \mathring{N}_{(1)j}^{(i)} \right),$$

where the matrix of the spatial nonlinear connection

$$\mathring{N}_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathring{N}_{(1)1}^{(1)} & \mathring{N}_{(1)2}^{(1)} & \mathring{N}_{(1)3}^{(1)} \\ \mathring{N}_{(1)1}^{(2)} & \mathring{N}_{(1)2}^{(2)} & \mathring{N}_{(1)3}^{(2)} \\ \mathring{N}_{(1)1}^{(3)} & \mathring{N}_{(1)2}^{(3)} & \mathring{N}_{(1)3}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathring{F}_{(1)2}^{(1)} & -\mathring{F}_{(1)3}^{(1)} \\ \mathring{F}_{(1)2}^{(1)} & 0 & -\mathring{F}_{(2)3}^{(1)} \\ \mathring{F}_{(1)3}^{(1)} & \mathring{F}_{(2)3}^{(1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has the entries

$$\mathring{F}_{(1)2}^{(1)} = 0.9211 \cdot \omega_{z'} + 109.1644, \quad \mathring{F}_{(1)3}^{(1)} = 0.4605 \cdot \omega_{y'} + 4353.1879,$$

$$\mathring{F}_{(2)3}^{(1)} = -0.4605 \cdot \omega_{x'} + 173.5540.$$

(2) *All adapted components of the **canonical Cartan linear connection** $\mathring{C}\mathring{\Gamma}$ **produced by the jet dynamical system of knee** (8) are zero.*

(3) *The **torsion tensor** $\mathring{T}$ of the canonical Cartan linear connection $\mathring{C}\mathring{\Gamma}$ **produced by the jet dynamical system of knee** (8) has as adapted components the entries of the following torsion matrices:*

$$\mathring{T}_{(1)1} = \frac{\partial \mathring{N}_{(1)}}{\partial \omega_{x'}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.4605 \\ 0 & -0.4605 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathring{T}_{(1)2} = \frac{\partial \mathring{N}_{(1)}}{\partial \omega_{y'}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -0.4605 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.4605 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{T}}_{(1)3} = \frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{N}}_{(1)}}{\partial \omega_{z'}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -0.9211 & 0 \\ 0.9211 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(4) All adapted components of the **curvature tensor** $\dot{\mathbf{R}}$ of the canonical Cartan linear connection $\mathbf{c}\dot{\Gamma}$ **produced by the jet dynamical system of knee** (8) cancel.

(5) The **geometric "electromagnetic" adapted components produced by the jet dynamical system of knee** (8) are the entries of the matrix

$$\dot{\mathbf{F}}^{(1)} = -\dot{\mathbf{N}}_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(1)2}^{(1)} & \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(1)3}^{(1)} \\ -\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(1)2}^{(1)} & 0 & \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(2)3}^{(1)} \\ -\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(1)3}^{(1)} & -\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(2)3}^{(1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(6) The **jet geometric "electromagnetic" Yang-Mills energy produced by the jet dynamical system of knee** (8) is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{EYM}^{\text{knee}}(\omega_{x'}, \omega_{y'}, \omega_{z'}) = \left[\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(1)2}^{(1)} \right]^2 + \left[\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(1)3}^{(1)} \right]^2 + \left[\dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(2)3}^{(1)} \right]^2.$$

Proof. The Euler's equations of motion (8) represent a particular case of the jet first order ODE system (1) for $n = 3$ and $\mathfrak{X} = \left(\dot{\mathbf{X}}_{(1)}^{(i)}(\omega_{x'}, \omega_{y'}, \omega_{z'}) \right)_{i=1,3}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{(1)}^{(1)}(\omega_{x'}, \omega_{y'}, \omega_{z'}) &= 0.9211 \cdot \omega_{y'} \cdot \omega_{z'} - 252.1279 \cdot \omega_{x'} - 7.4449 \cdot \omega_{y'} + \\ &+ 1204.3005 \cdot \omega_{z'} - 45.6979, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{(1)}^{(2)}(\omega_{x'}, \omega_{y'}, \omega_{z'}) &= -0.9211 \cdot \omega_{x'} \cdot \omega_{z'} - 225.7738 \cdot \omega_{x'} + 23.4226 \cdot \omega_{y'} + \\ &+ 518.2589 \cdot \omega_{z'} + 55.8199, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{(1)}^{(3)}(\omega_{x'}, \omega_{y'}, \omega_{z'}) &= -7502.0754 \cdot \omega_{x'} + 171.1509 \cdot \omega_{y'} + \\ &+ 26566.0377 \cdot \omega_{z'} - 1344.6415. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(1)2}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{(1)}^{(1)}}{\partial \omega_{y'}} - \frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{(1)}^{(2)}}{\partial \omega_{x'}} \right], \quad \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(1)3}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{(1)}^{(1)}}{\partial \omega_{z'}} - \frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{(1)}^{(3)}}{\partial \omega_{x'}} \right], \\ \dot{\mathbf{F}}_{(2)3}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{(1)}^{(2)}}{\partial \omega_{z'}} - \frac{\partial \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{(1)}^{(3)}}{\partial \omega_{y'}} \right], \quad \dot{\mathbf{F}}^{(1)} = -\dot{\mathbf{N}}_{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

some direct computations lead us to what we were looking for. ■

6 Yang-Mills energetic surfaces of constant level produced by the jet dynamical system of knee

Using the notations $\omega_{x'} := X$, $\omega_{y'} := Y$, $\omega_{z'} := Z$, then the jet geometric "electromagnetic" Yang-Mills energy produced by the dynamical system of knee (8) (associated to the subject (A)) takes the form

$$\mathbf{EYM}^{\text{knee}}(X, Y, Z) = [0.9211Z + 109.1644]^2 + [0.4605Y + 4353.1879]^2 + [-0.4605X + 173.5540]^2.$$

Now, let us consider the jet "electromagnetic" Yang-Mills energetic surfaces of constant level, which are produced by the dynamical system of the knee of the subject (A). These energetic surfaces are defined by the implicit equations

$$\Sigma_k^{\text{knee}} : \mathbf{EYM}^{\text{knee}}(X, Y, Z) = k,$$

where $k \geq 0$ is a given constant real number. By direct numerical computations, we obtain the following approximate implicit equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_k^{\text{knee}} : 0.2120 (X')^2 + 0.2120 (Y')^2 + 0.8484 (Z')^2 &= k \Leftrightarrow \\ \Sigma_k^{\text{knee}} : \frac{(X')^2}{4.7169k} + \frac{(Y')^2}{4.7169k} + \frac{(Z')^2}{1.1786k} - 1 &= 0, \quad k \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have done the spatial translation

$$X' = X - 376.8816, \quad Y' = Y + 9453.1767, \quad Z' = Z + 118.5152.$$

In conclusion, it follows that the jet "electromagnetic" Yang-Mills energetic surface of constant level $k \geq 0$ is:

1. for $k = 0$, it is the *point* $\mathcal{C}(376.8816, -9453.1767, -118.5152)$.
2. for $k > 0$, it is an *ellipsoid* centered in the point $\mathcal{C}$, whose semi-axes are parallel with the axes OX , OY and OZ , and they have the lengths

$$a = b = \sqrt{4.7169k}, \quad c = \sqrt{1.1786k}.$$

Open problem. Find the biomechanical interpretations for the ellipsoid shapes (oblate spheroids) of the jet Yang-Mills energetic surfaces of constant level produced by the dynamical system of knee (8).

References

- [1] J. Apkarian, S. Naumann, B. Cairns, *A three-dimensional kinematic and dynamic model for the lower limb*, J. Biomechanics, vol. **22**, no. **2** (1989), 143-155.

- [2] G.S. Asanov, *Jet extension of Finslerian gauge approach*, Fortschritte der Physik, vol. **38**, no. **8** (1990), 571-610.
- [3] V. Balan, M. Neagu, *Jet Single-Time Lagrange Geometry and Its Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 2011.
- [4] D.M. Barbu, I. Barbu, *Dynamical model for an original mechatronical rehabilitation system*, Proceedings of the **14**-th WSEAS International Conference on Applied Mathematics (2009), 23-26.
- [5] E.S. Grood, W.J. Suntay, *A joint coordinate system for the clinical description of three-dimensional motions: application to the knee*, ASME, J. Biomechanical Eng., vol. **105** (1983), 136-144.
- [6] M.S. Hefzy, E.M. Abdel-Rahman, *Three-dimensional dynamic anatomical modeling of the human knee joint*, in "Biomechanical Systems. Techniques and Applications", Vol. **III** - "Musculoskeletal Models and Techniques" (Cornelius Leondes Ed.), CRC Press, 2000.
- [7] V.G. Ivancevic, *New mechanics of generic musculo-skeletal injury*, <http://arXiv.org/q-bio.TO/0807.1759v5> (2009).
- [8] J.Y.S. Luh, M.W. Walker, R.P.C. Paul, *On-line computational scheme for mechanical manipulators*, J. Dyn. Syst., Measmt Control, vol. **102** (1980), 69-76.
- [9] R. Miron, M. Anastasiei, *The Geometry of Lagrange Spaces: Theory and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [10] P.J. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [11] I.C. Roşca, C. Radu, *On the design of the analytical ankle-foot model used to determine dynamic parameters during locomotion*, Online Proceedings of the **6**-th International DAAAM Baltic Conference "Industrial Engineering. I. Design Engineering" (2008), Tallinn, Estonia.
- [12] D.J. Saunders, *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, Cambridge University Press, New York, London, 1989.
- [13] C. Udrişte, *Geometric Dynamics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.

Mircea NEAGU
 University Transilvania of Braşov,
 Department of Mathematics - Informatics,
 Blvd. Iuliu Maniu, no. 50, Braşov 500091, Romania.
E-mail: mircea.neagu@unitbv.ro

Mihaela Maria MARIN (student in Medical Engineering)
 University Transilvania of Braşov, Romania.
E-mail: marin_mihaela_maria@yahoo.com